



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/942,736	08/31/2001	Horst-Udo Hain	1454.1067	8402
21171	7590 08/30/2006		EXAMINER	
STAAS & HALSEY LLP			AZAD, ABUL K	
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			2626	
			DATE MAILED: 08/30/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/942,736	HAIN, HORST-UDO
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	ABUL K. AZAD	2626
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 Jules</u> 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under E	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pre	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1.3,5-11 and 13-18 is/are pending in the same state of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1.3,5-11 and 13-18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	wn from consideration.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document: 2. Certified copies of the priority document: 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicativity documents have been received. U(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ⊠ Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	r (PTO-413) ate
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	_	Patent Application (PTO-152)

• '

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. This action is in response to the communication filed on June 2, 2006.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 5-11 and 13-18 are pending in this action.
- 3. The applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3, 5-11 and 13-18 have been fully considered but they are not deemed to be persuasive. For examiner's response to the applicant's arguments or comments, see the detailed discussion in the Response to the Arguments section.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 1, 3, 5, 6, 8-11, 13, 14 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sabourin (US 6,108,627).

As per claim 1, Sabourin teaches, "a method for speech synthesis by a grapheme/phoneme conversion", comprising:

"searching for subwords of a given word in a database which contains phonetic transcriptions of words, the given word having a subword registered in the database, and a further constituent which is not registered in the database" (Fig. 4, elements 401, 402, 403 as "subword registered in a database" and element 406 "subword not registered in a database");

Application/Control Number: 09/942,736

Art Unit: 2626

"selecting a phonetic transcription from the database for the subword" (Fig. 4, element "transcription");

"phonetically transcribing the further constituent of the given word with the aid of an out- of-vocabulary (OOV) treatment, the out-of-vocabulary (OOV) treatment for phonetic transcription of the further constituent is performed based on phonetic context as a function of the phonetic transcription of the subword" (Fig. 5); and

"combining the phonetic transcription of the subword and the phonetic transcription of the further constituent" (Fig. 5).

As per claim 3, Sabourin teaches, "wherein the given word has at least first and second subwords registered in the database, a search is made for both the first and second subwords in the database, a phonetic transcription is selected from the database for both the first and second subwords, and the phonetic transcription of the first and second subwords and the phonetic transcription of the further constituent are combined" (Fig. 4),

"the further constituent in the given word is arranged between the first subword and the second subword, and the out-of-vocabulary (OOV) treatment for phonetic transcription of the further constituent is performed as a function of the phonetic transcription of the first subword and the phonetic transcription of the second subword" (Fig. 4).

As per claim 5, Sabourin teaches, "wherein the searching for subwords in the database is pedormed by searching for subwords which have a prescribed minimum length" (col. 4, lines 38-62).

As per claim 6, Sabourin teaches, "wherein if a plurality of subwords are found for the same word part, the longest subword is selected therefrom" (col. 13, lines 36-45).

As per claim 8, Sabourin teaches, "wherein the out-of-vocabulary (OOV) treatment for phonetic transcription of the further constituent is performed by a rule-based method" (Fig. 4, element 406).

As per claim 9, Sabourin teaches, "wherein the subword is found in a first database, and the out-of-vocabulary (OOV) treatment for phonetic transcription of the further constituent is performed by a second database which contains the phonetic transcription of filling particles normally used in the case of composite words" (Fig. 4).

As per claim 10, 11, 13, 14 and 18, they are interpreted and thus rejected for the same reasons set forth in the rejection of claims 1, 3, 5, 6, 8 and 9.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 7. Claims 7 and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sabourin (US 6,108,627) as applied to claims 1 and 14 above, and further in view of Karaali et al. (US 5,913,194).

Application/Control Number: 09/942,736 Page 5

Art Unit: 2626

As per claims 7 and 15, Lin does not explicitly teach, phonetic transcription further performed by a neuron network. However, Karaali teaches, phonetic transcription performed by a neuron network (Abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use neural network because Karaali teaches his invention reduce size of the neural network without substantial degradation in the quality of the generated synthetic speech (col. 2, lines 8-12).

As per claim 16, Sabourin teaches, "wherein the out-of-vocabulary (OOV) treatment for phonetic transcription of the further constituent is performed by a rule-based method" (Fig. 4, element 406).

As per claim 17, Sabourin teaches, "wherein the subwords are found in a first database, and the out-of-vocabulary treatment for phonetic transcription of the further constituent is performed by a second database which contains the phonetic transcription of filling particles used in the case of composite words" (Fig. 4).

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3, 5-11 and 13-18 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Contact Information

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Abul K. Azad** whose telephone number is **(571) 272-7599.** If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Richemond Dorvil**, can be reached at **(571) 272-7602.**

Application/Control Number: 09/942,736

Art Unit: 2626

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Or faxed to: (571) 273-8300.

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to **401 Dulany Street, Alexandria,**VA-22314 (Customer Service Window).

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

August 24, 2006

Abul K. Azad Primary Examiner Art Unit 2626

Page 6